QUALITY CONTROL HYDRO CHECKLIST FOR ROADWAY

QC Item	Check	box		Reference
Computer Models				
Acceptable numerical models used for Hydrology and Hydraulics	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS p. 74
List computer models used:				
Hydrologic Analysis				
Accurate drainage area identified using topo maps and/or LiDAR	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.1
Calculations for Tc. NRCS Velocity Method is recommended.	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	NRCS Hydrology National Engineering Handbook Chapter 15; SC Unit Hydrograph Method Application Manual
Design year event for <u>Storm sewer and ditch design</u> - 10 % AEP (10-year event) for 0 <da<=40 %="" (25-year="" (50-year="" 2="" 4="" 40<da<="500" acres;="" aep="" da="" event)="" for="">500 acres</da<=40>	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.3
Design year event for <u>Crosslines</u> - 4 % AEP (25-year event) for Secondary roads; 2 % AEP (50-year event) for Primary Routes and Interstates; Analyze 1 % AEP (100-year event) or overtopping flood for all routes	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.2
Appropriate Methodology used for design	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15
□Rational Drainage area up to 100 acres	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.1
Reasonable runoff coefficient being used for terrain	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.1; Table 4
C _f used for applicable design event	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.1
Min Tc = 5 min	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15
Current SCDOT Rainfall Intensity Values used	□Yes	□ No	¬N/A	SCDOT website; HDB 2019-2
Runoff factors being used	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.1; Table 4
□NRCS WinTR-55 Method		-	,	- ,
Drainage area 100-640 acres	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.2
Accurate Peak Rate Factor (PRF)	□Yes	□ No	¬N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.2; Table 6
Hydrologic Soil Groups determined	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	NRCS TR-55, Tables 2.2.a,b,c
Curve Number determined for corresponding land use and HSG	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	NRCS TR-55, Tables 2.2.a,b,c
□USGS Rural Regression equations				
Drainage area greater than 1 square mile in rural areas	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.3; Table 7; USGS SIR 2009-5156
□USGS Urban Regression equations				
Drainage area greater than 1 square mile in urban areas	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.4; USGS SIR 2004- 5030
□Log Pearson Type III				
Gaged site with USGS gage	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.5
□Hydrograph Methods Regression equations minimum drainage area exceeded	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.15.6; USGS WRIR 89- 4087; USGS WRIR 92-4040
Closed Stormwater Drainage				
Acceptable numerical model used from list on p. 74 of RHDS	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS p. 74
Pipe data table complete	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	1(1100 p. 74
Proper application of approved inlet type	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	719-000 Standard Drawings
Correct inlet spacing based on inlet spacing design aids. Type 16,17, 18 and 25 available.	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SCDOT website
Spread criteria met	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.4 Table 2; **Design Variance**

Sags contain flanking inlets spaced max 100' from sag	□Voc	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.4
• •	□Yes	□ No	•	KHD3 2.2.4
Manholes kept out travelway	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	
Pipe slope - min 0.3 %	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.5
Pipe velocities - min 3 ft/sec	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.5; Concrete Pipe Design
Tipe velocities Timi 5 ty see	□ 1C3	o		Manual
Min cover pipes generally 1 ft. from pipe crown to bottom of	Voc	- No	-NI/A	Std Dwg Soction 714 000
subgrade. See pipe tables in Standard Drawings	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg Section 714-000
Precast drainage structure used where depth is greater than				
12.0 ft or where the flow line elevation of the inlet pipe is higher				RHDS 2.2.8; Std Dwg Section 719-
than the soffit of the outlet pipe. Extra depth items shown on	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	300 and 719-400
plans.				300 una 713 400
pians.				
Storm sewer pipes not in pressure flow (HGL <= 94%)	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.9 **Design Variance**
	.,		N1 /A	BUDG 2.2.6
Min 18" pipe except for yard drains and driveway pipes	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.6
Correct Manning's roughness coefficient used for pipes	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2; Table 3
Min depth of drainage structure boxes met	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Specifications and Support Manual
Will depth of drainage structure boxes met	_ IC3	_ INO		for Geopak Drainage
Profiles with HGL including junction losses shown for all pipes in	□Voc	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5
system	□Yes	□ No	⊔N/A	кпрз 2.5 этер э
Storm-sewer pipes shown in cross-sections	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Instructional Bulletin 2009-5
Standard drainage structure or designed interface used at				
connections between existing and new pipe	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Instructional Bulletin 2009-4
Samuel Prince				
Ditches				
Ditches have positive grade and do not trap water	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.5
bitches have positive grade and do not trap water	□ 1C3			M103 2.2.3
Design high water level 1 ft below the road subgrade in ditches	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
Adequate hydraulic capacity	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
Ditch stability analysis	□Yes □Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
			•	
Ditch stability analysis	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections	□Yes □Yes	□ No	□N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections	□Yes □Yes	□ No	□N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches	□Yes □Yes	□ No	□N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets	□Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event)	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event)	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No No No No No No No No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2;
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No No No No No No No No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2;
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No No No No No No No No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event)	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-discharges. If potential for property damage exists, the channel	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-discharges. If potential for property damage exists, the channel	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-discharges. If potential for property damage exists, the channel is to be improved and/or detention is to be utilized.	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-discharges. If potential for property damage exists, the channel is to be improved and/or detention is to be utilized. Roadway Culverts (<20 ft)	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No No No No No No No No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.1
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-discharges. If potential for property damage exists, the channel is to be improved and/or detention is to be utilized.	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.2
Ditch stability analysis Bottom elevation labeled in plan cross-sections Sideline ditches kept out of wetland areas Outfall Ditches Labeled in plan sheets Profile and cross-sections shown in plans Adequate hydraulic capacity for 10% AEP (10- year event) Stability analysis for 10% AEP (10- year event) Outfalls that are natural watercourses left undisturbed where possible Pre vs Post flow analysis at each outfall for 10% AEP (10- year event) Determination that no violations to Drainage Regulations exist Determination that there is no anticipated damage caused to the property by comparing tailwater elevations for pre- and post-discharges. If potential for property damage exists, the channel is to be improved and/or detention is to be utilized. Roadway Culverts (<20 ft)	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No No No No No No No No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5B Clean Water Act - Section 404 RHDS 2.2.12 RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS - Section 2.2.12.2; Engineering Directive 27 - Drainage Outfalls RHDS 2.2.12.2 RHDS 2.2.12.1

$HW_{post} \le HW_{pre}$ for design and 1% AEP (100-year event). No adverse impacts to property statement or CLOMR necessary for FEMA regulated crossings for non-compliance.	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 6 D
Fill height requirements met	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RCP - Std Dwg 714-205-02 - Table 714-205B; SRAP - Std Dwg 714- 605-02 - Table 714-605B; HDPE - Std Dwg 714-705-02 - Table 714- 705B; Instructional Bulletin 2010- 01
Determination whether culvert is in floodway or a flood hazard area	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 6 A 5
Box culvert invert elevations set 1.0 ft below stream bed for natural streams	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 6 D
Culvert slope - min 0.3 %	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.5
Culvert velocities - min 3 ft/sec	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.5; Concrete Pipe Design Manual
Hydro data shown for cross-lines greater than or equal to 48"	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 6 G
Proper end treatment used	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Standard Drawing 719-600
Culverts labeled with length, size, type, and inverts	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	In structional Bullstin 2000 OF
Cross-lines shown and labeled in roadway cross-sections	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Instructional Bulletin 2009-05
Stormwater Management				
Stormwater Management Design Study prepared	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.13
Stormwater Management Report references current RHDS and is sealed by a Professional Engineer	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.1, 2.5
Detention present where an increase in flow is determined to have negative impacts to property, but used as last resort	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.12.2
Analysis of outfall for 10 % AEP (10-year event) and 1 % AEP (100-year event)	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.12.2
Q_{post} hydrograph peak <= Q_{pre} peak. Demonstrate no negative impacts to downstream property for non-compliance.	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.2.12.2
Sediment & Erosion Control				
Appropriate outlet protection for all discharge outlets	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	RHDS 2.3 Step 5 B; HEC 14; SCDOT Water Quality Manual; Std Dwgs 804-305-03 & 804-310-00
Erosion prevention and sediment control BMP's based on SCDOT Stormwater Quality Design Manual (SWQDM) used	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM
Temporary and permanent seeding quantities provided	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M-810-4
Hydraulic Erosion Control Product (HECP) and type specified	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-11
Rolled Erosion Control Product (RECP) and type specified for ditches; Analysis of shear stress	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-9
□ECB used for shear stress <= 1.75 lb/ft ² ;	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-9
\Box TRM Type 1 used for shear stress up to 4.0 lb/ft 2 or slopes 2H:1V or less;	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M- 815-9
□TRM Type 2 used for shear stress up to 8.0 lb/ft2 or slopes 1.5H:1V or less;	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-9

□TRM Type 3 used for shear stress up to 12.0 lb/ft² or slopes 1.0H:1V	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-9
Outfall channels needing stabilization - rip-rap and nonwoven geotextile filter fabric underneath riprap lined up to the 10 % AEP (10-year event)	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	SWQDM 4.2.2
Rip-rap placement specified at box culvert ends	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 804-205-00
Outlet protection at pipe outlets - Specify class and type of rip- rap and nonwoven geotextile filter fabric underneath rip-rap quantities	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 804-310-00; Instructional Bulletin 2009-2
Temporary slope drain on on vulnerable fill slopes	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 803-105-00
Temporary diversion dike used when a substantial amount of offsite is entering sideline ditch	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-605-10
Slope interruption device used on slopes greater than 50 ft in length	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-001-02; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-8
Temporary sediment basin used with drainage areas greater than 10 disturbed acres	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-305-01 thru (06)
Floating skimmers used in sediment basins	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-305-02; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-14
Porous baffle used in sediment basins	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-305-07; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-16
Temporary sediment dam used for drainage areas less than 10 disturbed acres	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-405-01 & (02)
Sediment dam for pipe inlet used for drainage areas less than 2 acres	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 805-406-00
Perimeter control in place at fill slopes	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17
Perimeter control in place at fill slopes Double row silt fence place in wetlands	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	
	□Yes		,	Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental
Double row silt fence place in wetlands Rock ditch checks placed in ditches when sediment dams can not	□Yes	□ No	□N/A	Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17
Double row silt fence place in wetlands Rock ditch checks placed in ditches when sediment dams can not be placed Sediment tubes for ditch checks and/or stack enhanced ditch	□Yes	□ No	□N/A □N/A	Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-105-00 Std Dwg 815-205-00; Supplemental
Double row silt fence place in wetlands Rock ditch checks placed in ditches when sediment dams can not be placed Sediment tubes for ditch checks and/or stack enhanced ditch checks placed in ditches based on spacing charts Stabilized construction entrance used at all points where traffic	□Yes □Yes	□ No □ No	□N/A □N/A	Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-105-00 Std Dwg 815-205-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-12 & SC-M-815-19 Std Dwg 815-505-00; Supplemental
Double row silt fence place in wetlands Rock ditch checks placed in ditches when sediment dams can not be placed Sediment tubes for ditch checks and/or stack enhanced ditch checks placed in ditches based on spacing charts Stabilized construction entrance used at all points where traffic will be entering and exiting construction site Inlet structure filters - Type A used for inlets with peak flows less than 1 ft ³ /sec; Placed on all inlet types; Figure 20 LF each for	□Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No □ No □ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A	Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-105-00 Std Dwg 815-205-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-12 & SC-M-815-19 Std Dwg 815-505-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-10 Std Dwg 815-001-01; Supplemental
Double row silt fence place in wetlands Rock ditch checks placed in ditches when sediment dams can not be placed Sediment tubes for ditch checks and/or stack enhanced ditch checks placed in ditches based on spacing charts Stabilized construction entrance used at all points where traffic will be entering and exiting construction site Inlet structure filters - Type A used for inlets with peak flows less than 1 ft³/sec; Placed on all inlet types; Figure 20 LF each for quantities Inlet structure filter - Type B used for inlets with peak flows less	□Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No	□N/A □N/A □N/A □N/A	Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-605-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-2 and SC-M-815-17 Std Dwg 815-105-00 Std Dwg 815-205-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-12 & SC-M-815-19 Std Dwg 815-505-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-10 Std Dwg 815-001-01; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-8 Std Dwg 815-002-00; Supplemental

Version: 10/30/2020

Inlet structure filter - Type F non-weighted used for CB Type 1, 16, 17, & 18 with drainage areas less than 1 ac; Non-weighted tubes placed on subgrade where stakes can be driven; Figure 8' □Yes for CB Type 1 and 16; Figure 12' for CB Type 17 and 18; Used for slope interruption device on slopes > 50 ft.; Measured LF	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-006-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-8
Inlet structure filter - Type F weighted used for all inlets with drainage areas less than 1 ac; Weighted tubes placed on hard surface where stakes can not be driven; Applicable as Type E ISF; □Yes Figure 8' for CB Type 1 and 16; Figure 12' for CB Type 17 and 18; Figure 20' for MH and DI's; Measured LF	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-006-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-8
Inlet structure filter - Type G used for CB Type 9, DI's, and MH's with drainage areas less than 1 ac and flow rates less than 3 ft³/sec; seldom used because of maintenance of sediment removal	□ No	□N/A	Std Dwg 815-006-00; Supplemental Spec SC-M-815-8

Version: 10/30/2020